# Welcome to the anonymous vote: Glosowanie created by: Anna Natusiewicz 

Vote identifier 5a4fc9524bbb3e0df5361a1e14620a5b

The vote question is:
Kandydaci do MKInO PTTK na kadencje 2022-2025
In the time zone
accounting for winter/summer time change:
Present time is Tuesday 15 February 2022 at 20h 55min 45s
The vote started Tuesday 15 February 2022 at 20h 42 min 00 s . This was 13 minutes 45 s ago.
The vote ended Tuesday 15 February 2022 at 20 h 55 min 00 s . This was 45 seconds ago.

## ALL VOTERS HAVE VOTED.

## Table of results

This is a multiple choice vote (check boxes) so the sum of vote percentages may not be $100 \%$. NB: Also note that the vote creator has allowed actually voting for no choice (no checked check-box) so the number of Votes-for-a-choice may be less than the number of current votes i.e. voting actions i.e. voters who have voted.

| Choice | VotesFraction of <br> $\mathbf{1 6}$ cast vote forms | Fraction of <br> $\mathbf{1 6}$ voters |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Andrzej Krochmal | 15 | $93.75 \%$ | $93.75 \%$ |
| Tomasz Łaski | 16 | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ |
| Anna Malanowska | 15 | $93.75 \%$ | $93.75 \%$ |
| Damian Murach | 15 | $93.75 \%$ | $93.75 \%$ |
| Beata Pajęcka-Pluta | 14 | $87.5 \%$ | $87.5 \%$ |
| Mariusz Pietrzak | 15 | $93.75 \%$ | $93.75 \%$ |
| Michał Segit | 15 | $93.75 \%$ | $93.75 \%$ |
| Barbara Szmyt | 15 | $93.75 \%$ | $93.75 \%$ |
| Jacek Trzoch | 2 | $12.5 \%$ | $12.5 \%$ |

## Table of participation

| $\quad$ Voters | $\mathbf{1 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Have voted | 16 | $100 \%$ |
| Are attentive but have not voted | 0 | $0 \%$ |
| Are inattentive | 0 | $0 \%$ |

Please note that the number of attentive/inattentive voters may continue to vary even after the
end date, if defined. It represents only the residual number of participants who have reached the vote page, in time or too late. See definition further below.

## Detailed explanations of the results

Number of votes-for-choice Andrzej Krochmal = 15 i.e. $93.75 \%$ of 16 cast vote forms i.e. $93.75 \%$ of 16 total voters

Number of votes-for-choice Tomasz Łaski $=16$ i.e. $100 \%$ of 16 cast vote forms i.e. $100 \%$ of 16 total voters

Number of votes-for-choice Anna Malanowska $=15$ i.e. $93.75 \%$ of 16 cast vote forms i.e. $93.75 \%$ of 16 total voters

Number of votes-for-choice Damian Murach = 15 i.e. $93.75 \%$ of 16 cast vote forms i.e. $93.75 \%$ of 16 total voters

Number of votes-for-choice Beata Pajęcka-Pluta $=14$ i.e. $87.5 \%$ of 16 cast vote forms i.e. $87.5 \%$ of 16 total voters

Number of votes-for-choice Mariusz Pietrzak $=15$ i.e. $93.75 \%$ of 16 cast vote forms i.e. $93.75 \%$ of 16 total voters

Number of votes-for-choice Michał Segit = 15 i.e. $93.75 \%$ of 16 cast vote forms i.e. $93.75 \%$ of 16 total voters

Number of votes-for-choice Barbara Szmyt = 15 i.e. $93.75 \%$ of 16 cast vote forms i.e. $93.75 \%$ of 16 total voters

Number of votes-for-choice Jacek Trzoch = 2 i.e. $12.5 \%$ of 16 cast vote forms i.e. $12.5 \%$ of 16 total voters

Number of votes/voters $=16 / 16$ i.e. $100 \%$.
By definition, there is a distinction between the number of votes-for-a-choice and the number of present-votes (or effective-votes) equals to the number of voters who have actually voted. For instance there may be 0 votes for some choice and still several current votes i.e. people who have voted, expressing no-choice at all.

## Detailed explanations of the participation

Distribution of 16 voters
$=16 \operatorname{voter}(\mathrm{~s})$, representing $100 \%$ of the voters, have voted
+0 attentive voter(s), representing $0 \%$ of the voters, have not voted
$+0 \operatorname{voter}(\mathrm{~s})$, representing $0 \%$ of the voters, are inattentive to this running vote.
A voter is considered attentive to the vote if $s /$ he has connected to the vote page, by clicking the vote link on the received email. NB: This click is anonymous. This participant has not
voted yet.
By definition an inattentive voter did not click this link, possibly because s/he did not read the email or did not want to participate.

## Table of choices (for reference)

For information this is a multiple choice vote made of check boxes. The voters have been mechanically required to select from 0 to 8 choices:

## Test Choice

$\ulcorner$ Andrzej Krochmal
$\square$ Tomasz Łaski
■ Anna Malanowska
「 Damian Murach
$\ulcorner$ Beata Pajęcka-Pluta
「 Mariusz Pietrzak
$\ulcorner$ Michał Segit
■ Barbara Szmyt
■ Jacek Trzoch

NB: Please note that the constraint on the minimum and maximum number of checked boxes has been applied to the voters but is not testable here.

| Choice | Votes | Fraction of <br> 16 cast vote forms | Fraction of <br> 16 voters |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Andrzej Krochmal | 15 | $93.75 \%$ | $93.75 \%$ |
| Tomasz Łaski | 16 | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ |
| Anna Malanowska | 15 | $93.75 \%$ | $93.75 \%$ |
| Damian Murach | 15 | $93.75 \%$ | $87.5 \%$ |
| Beata Pajęcka-Pluta | 14 | $87.5 \%$ | $93.75 \%$ |
| Mariusz Pietrzak | 15 | $93.75 \%$ | $93.75 \%$ |
| Michał Segit | 15 | $93.75 \%$ | $93.75 \%$ |
| Barbara Szmyt | 15 | $93.75 \%$ | $12.5 \%$ |
| Jacek Trzoch | 2 | $\mathbf{1 2 . 5 \%}$ |  |

## participation

| Voters | 16 | $100 \%$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Have voted | 16 | $100 \%$ |
| Are attentive but have not voted | 0 | $0 \%$ |
| Are inattentive | 0 | $0 \%$ |

